



John Layte <johnlayte@gmail.com>

Re: Fwd: FW: T 10673/12 Truro Court

1 message

John L <jl@arthur-v-layte.com> 2 December 2013 13:58

To: "Truro County Court, Civil Section" <civilsection.trurocountycourt@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>, Exeter Court <enquiries@exeter.countycourt.gsi.gov.uk>, Central Listing Exeter <cloxeter2@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk>, Civil Appeals Registry <civilappeals.registry@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk>, David Cameron <privateoffice@no10.x.gsi.gov.uk>, "Sarah Newton (MP)" <Sarah.Newton.MP@parliament.uk>, "EUSTICE, George" <george.eustice.mp@parliament.uk>, Chief Constable <shaun.sawyer@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk>, Police General Enquires <101@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk>, professionalstandards@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk, opcc@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk, Hancock Caffin <contact@footanstey.com>, Enquireies Home Office <public.enquiries@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>, Private Eye <stobes@private-eye.co.uk>, Theresa May Home Secretary <privateoffice.external@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>, The Daily Telegraph <dtnews@telegraph.co.uk>
Cc: JL <johnlayte@gmail.com>, Kath Layte <kath@layte.com>, John Layte <john@layte.com>

JH Layte
Winter Cottage
Goongumpas
St Day
Redruth
Cornwall
TR16 5JL

Dear Elaine Graham

Thank you for your message received today at 10:09.

Please print the email and all its attachments (and confirm you have done so) as a hard copy is in the post only to the Chief Constable.

If Truro Court decide not to print the email and its attachments them please inform me by post that you require a hard copy and preferably include a large SAE with your request.

I have sent a copy of my 21 December 2012 letter to the Police and the Court on numerous previous occasions but in the absence of a response I attach yet another copy.

I remind the Police that answers are required to questions (a) to (j) and remind the Court that answers are required to (k) and (l).

If no answers to (k) and (l) are received by the anniversary of the letter then it will be taken that the Court is aware that Mrs Deery's statement in her 5 February 2010 letter "*The District Judge (DJ Wainwright) confirmed that the consequence of the order of His Honour Judge Griggs on 14 July 2009 was that unless and until Mr and/or Mrs Layte successfully appeal that order, any consequential directions relating to the costs bills must fall*" is pure fabrication.

In the unlikely event that Court can prove that Mrs Deery was telling the truth regarding DJ Wainwright's alleged "confirmation" then it will indicate that Judge Wainwright was not au fait with the rules since it is not possible to appeal the order of His Honour Judge Griggs for the reason given in the Court of Appeal's letter of 23 December 2009 a copy of which was supplied to Exeter Court on 4 January 2010 (a month before Mrs Deery's 5 February 2010 letter).

We were awarded costs. We have complied with all the rules in preparing a bill of costs. Our bill has been certified by the Court as CPR compliant. We have complied with all Court Orders. If anybody thinks otherwise then they must prove it.

We require that our costs are paid.

Sincerely

JH Layte

On 02/12/2013 10:09, Truro County Court, Civil Section wrote:

this email will not be printed as it duplicates the hard copies which are in the post

Elaine E Graham

Civil Section
Truro County Court
Tel: 01872 267470

I am not authorised to bind my Department contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Department in any way via electronic means.

From: John L [<mailto:jl@arthur-v-layte.com>]

Sent: 29 November 2013 19:49

To: David Cameron; Nick Clegg; Theresa May Home Secretary; Sarah Newton (MP); EUSTICE, George; Jonathan Djanogly; Edward Miliband; Enquireies Home Office; Customer OJC; Crown Procecuton Service; Exeter County, Enquiries; Truro County Court, Civil Section; Exeter County, Enquiries; Paula McEwan; IPCC; Private Eye; The Guardian (Owen Bowcott); The Daily Telegraph; The Independant; The Falmouth Packet; The Times; The Mirror; West Briton Editor; Western Morning News; opcc@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk; Chief Constable; Michael Stamp; professionalstandards@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk; Police General Enquires; DI Adam (pleae forward); Paul.CHUDLEY@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk; Chris STRICKLAND 13782; John Trott; emma.webber@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk; Joanne Exelby; DJ Arthur; Mr and Mrs Arthur; natasha@austin-uk.co.uk; Danny Everard; 52 fore street

Cc: JL; Kath Layte; northcasework@ipcc.gsi.gov.uk

Subject: Fwd: Fwd: FW: T 10673/12

The Chief Constable
Devon and Cornwall Police
Police Headquarters
Middlemoor

Exeter
Devon EX2 7HQ

By post, email and fax

Dear Mr ~~Ostrich~~ Sawyer

Thank you for informing me that on 2 September 2013 you deleted my 19 September 2012 message (FW: T 10673/12) without reading it.

I would be grateful if you would explain why it took you a year to decide not to read it and then to delete it?

I reproduce below another copy of it in case you want to change your mind and decide to read it this time.

I think it important that you read it and also look at some of the links in the message that prove that DI Strickland's view as expressed in his 26 January 2011 letter "*that we cannot prove that we served our bills on Mr and Mrs Arthur*" and Under Secretary of State for Justice (Mr Djanogly) views as expressed in his 30 November 2011 letter "*that we did not comply with Judge Wainwright's Order*" are "inaccurate".

In addition to the original 19 September 2012 attachments I attach another copy of my 21 December 2012 letter and remind you and the Court that I have still not had a response.

In addition to the original 19 September 2012 attachments I attach another copy of my 10 March 2005 fax and would like you to tell me what action the Police took regarding my suspicion of corruption.

I confirm I will be writing to DI Adam in the near future concerning my complaint and advise it would be imprudent of her to publish her report until she has seen what I have to say. Up to her of course.

Regards

JH Layte

Subject: Not read: FW: T 10673/12

From: <Shaun.SAWYER@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk>

Date: 02/09/2013 09:30

To: John Layte <john@layte.com>

Your message was deleted without being read on 02 September 2013 08:03:24 UTC.

101 - The new non emergency number for Devon and Cornwall Police
Textphone 18001 101 for the deaf, hard of hearing or speech impaired
Always call 999 in an emergency

Devon and Cornwall Police aims to serve the communities of Devon and Cornwall and help inspire greater confidence in the Police. For more information please visit our website at www.devoncornwall.police.uk

This e-mail is intended for the named individual(s) only and may contain information which is protected in law. If you have received this e-mail in error, you may not read, copy, disseminate or otherwise deal with it. In this case, please delete the e-mail and contact the sender immediately.

Internet e-mail is not secure. Therefore Devon and Cornwall Police does not accept legal responsibility for the contents or distribution of this message including file attachments. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Devon and Cornwall Police. All reasonable efforts have been made to check that any attached software or other material is free of computer viruses, but Devon and Cornwall Police accepts no responsibility for any damage, howsoever arising, as a result of their transmission to the recipient's computer or network.

Final-recipient: RFC822; Shaun.SAWYER@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk

Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; deleted

X-MSEch-Correlation-Key: 8KcegDPi/EuCgwWt/Wg67A==

X-Display-Name: SAWYER Shaun 13254

Not read: FW: T 10673/12

----- Original Message -----

Subject:Fwd: FW: T 10673/12

Date:Wed, 19 Sep 2012 14:59:52 +0100

From:John Layte <john@layte.com>

To:Enquireies Home Office <public.enquiries@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>, David Cameron <privateoffice@no10.x.gsi.gov.uk>, Theresa May Home Secretary <privateoffice.external@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>, Michael Stamp <michael.stamp@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk>, Chief Constable <shaun.sawyer@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk>, "Sarah Newton (MP)" <Sarah.Newton.MP@parliament.uk>, northcasework@ipcc.gsi.gov.uk, enquiries@ipcc.gsi.gov.uk, "EUSTICE, George" <george.eustice.mp@parliament.uk>, "The Guardian (Owen Bowcott)" <owen.bowcott@guardian.co.uk>, The Daily Telegraph <dtnews@telegraph.co.uk>, The Independant <newseditor@independent.co.uk>, Private Eye <strokes@private-eye.co.uk>, Jonathan Djanogly <jonathan.djanogly.mp@parliament.uk>, Chris STRICKLAND 13782 <Christopher.STRICKLAND@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk>, Peter.SIMMS@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk, Paul.CHUDLEY@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk, Police General Enquires <101@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk>, "Police (Joy Norris)" <Joy.NORRIS@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk>, emilyjane.dorr@ipcc.gsi.gov.uk

CC:Kath Layte <kath@layte.com>, JL <johnlayte@gmail.com>

Dear Carl (Millar)

Thank you for supplying me with the attached .doc file dated 28 August 2012. I confirm I did not receive a copy by post and would thus be grateful if you would supply a "proof of posting" certificate (or a signed affidavit from the person that posted it) so that I can make a complaint to Royal Mail.

I will be writing further regarding the content of the letter but in the meantime I refer you to the "closed" web site www.arthur-v-layte.co.uk and one of the "open" copies of it www.arthurvlayte.nofeeshost.com

I suggest all recipients of this email familiarize themselves with every document on the "open" site so that all will know why I have made an allegation that Mr Arthur and the Police committed a crime by closing down the original www.arthur-v-layte.co.uk web site. It is perverting the course of Justice to conceal or destroy evidence in Civil litigation and this web site contained a great deal of evidence that Mr Arthur was served with a copy of my bill of costs before 13 May 2009 despite his denial in his [14 May 2009 Notice](#) (attached) and contradicts DI Strickland who states in his [26 January 2011 letter](#) (attached) that he did not consider there was any evidence that Mr Arthur perverted the course of Justice.

I attach a .pdf copy of the files contained in the document folder of the closed down

v-layte.co.uk site and draw your attention to the "last modified" column as this is the date in the "uploaded" column of the web site and will normally be close to the date that the actual document was served or filed.

I also attach the [30 November 2011](#) letter from Mr Djanogly MP (Under Secretary of State for Justice) and point out that [page 1](#) line 6,10,17,19, 20 and [page 2](#) line 4,14,15 of the www.arthurvlayte.nofeeshost.com web site contradict Mr Djanogly's statement that I "did not comply with the Judge's direction" (to serve and file a CPR compliant bill of costs). I require Mr Djanogly to apologise for falsely stating that I am in contempt of court when in fact it is he who has been misinformed. Politicians should tell the truth. Mr Djanogly has made a false allegation against me and if he does not apologise then I call for his resignation.

As can be seen from Mr Arthur's [14 May 2009 notice](#) (attached) Mr Arthur states that he paid the Court for a copy of my bill of costs on 13 May 2009. One wonders how the Court were able to provide Mr Arthur with a copy of my bill if Mr Djanogly's statement that I had not complied with DJ Wainwright's Order requiring me to **file** and serve a copy is the truth. For the record the bill that the Court supplied Mr Arthur with was filed on 8 January 2009 by recorded delivery post and I attach a copy of the Post Office "[Signed for](#)" receipt. The same bill was [served by hand](#) on Mr & Mrs Arthur on 7 January 2009. A copy of the bill can be seen on [page 2](#) of www.arthurvlayte.nofeeshost.com web site at line 14 and 15. The bill was [returned unopened](#).

I must emphasize I have made an allegation that the Police committed a crime and this is not to be considered a complaint about misconduct.

The Police seem to have downgraded my allegation to a complaint about misconduct and as the original "incident" regarding this "misconduct" was more than 12 months ago they have asked the IPCC for dispensation regarding recording it as a complaint.

The IPCC have granted this dispensation but that dispensation is only valid if the complaint was a complaint about misconduct but it is obviously not valid if the complaint was an allegation of a crime because there is no such 12 month limit on recording a crime whether committed by the general public or the Police.

E-J Dorr of the IPCC has stated that I must make the same complaint against Police as before but this time make it apparent that I am reporting a crime and not making a complaint about the conduct of an individual officer.

As advised by the IPCC I have reported my allegation that the Police committed a crime and this has so far been recorded as incident 492 17 September 2012. I have spoken to Richard Smith of Falmouth CID who has confirmed there is no 12 month limit on reporting a crime.

Who investigates the Police? Is it the Police? The IPCC? or the Home Office? Please advise.

Will all recipients please confirm that they have looked at the documents uploaded to www.arthurvlayte.nofeeshost.com

I can confirm that E-J Dorr (IPCC) and PS Bennett (Falmouth Police) absolutely refused to look at the web site. There is none so blind as those who will not see. A complaint (948 11 August 2012) has been made concerning PS Bennett and will E-J Dorr's superior at the IPCC (Sian Myring?) please consider this email to be a written complaint against E-J Dorr for refusing to look at evidence.

Regards

JH Layte

----- Original Message -----

Subject:FW: T 10673/12

Date:Mon, 17 Sep 2012 17:01:39 +0100

From:Public Enquiries (CD) <Public.Enquiries@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>

To:<john@layte.com>

Dear Mr Layte

We spoke just now on the telephone. For your records please find attached an electronic copy of the reply that was sent to your postal address on 28 August 2012.

Regards, Carl

Home Office

Direct Communications Unit

This email and any files transmitted with it are private and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please return it to the address it came from telling them it is not for you and then delete it from your system. This email message has been swept for computer viruses.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

No virus found in this message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3629/6883 - Release Date: 12/01/13

4 attachments



21dec2012 to police and Court.pdf

186K



23 Dec 2009 from C of A.pdf

92K



5feb2010deery.pdf

96K



4jan2010requestforhearing.pdf

255K