



John Layte <johnlayte@gmail.com>

---

## Fwd: PZ200604

1 message

---

**John Layte** <john@layte.com>  
To: JL <johnlayte@gmail.com>

10 December 2013 03:44

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:**PZ200604

**Date:**Fri, 17 Jun 2011 14:16:06 +0100

**From:**john layte (co.uk) <john@layte.co.uk>

**To:**Exeter Court <enquiries@exeter.countycourt.gsi.gov.uk>, nicky.deery@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk, "Mulvaney, Max" <Max.Mulvaney@ojc.gsi.gov.uk>, "EUSTICE, George" <george.eustice.mp@parliament.uk>, Judge Complaint <customer@ojc.gsi.gov.uk>

**CC:**Kath Layte <kath@layte.com>, JL <johnlayte@googlemail.com>

J.H. Layte  
Goonhillend Cottage  
Goongumpas  
Redruth  
Cornwall  
TR16 5JL

17 June 2011

Dear Mrs Deery (and recipients of this email)

On 14 June 2011 my MP forwarded me a copy of your 31 May 2011 letter (attached Reply 31.5.PDF) and advised me that he received it on 3 June 2011.

Most of the letter is either inaccurate or untrue and I will be writing further on that in the future.

The most important part of your letter is that it seems to be informing me about a hearing you say has been convened before DJ Harvey on 7 June 2011. It was allegedly posted to my old service address but my tenant confirms that it was not delivered (see attached Peeters letter.PDF).

We would have wanted to attend this hearing if we had been informed about it.

I believe it is the duty of the Court to advise all parties involved if a hearing is to take place in good time. To post a letter to only one of the Defendants using an address which you must have known is out of date is unacceptable. Even if I had received your letter on the same day as my MP then it is unacceptable to be informed on the Friday that there is to be a hearing on the following Tuesday.

In future please use my correct service address (as above) and preferably post everything by recorded delivery (or send a backup email copy) so there is no doubt that the Court actually sent it as there is certainly doubt in this case.

I attach yet another copy of my 27 April 2010 letter. Contrary to paragraph 2 of your 31 May 2011 letter (which states that "*you have responded in full and there is nothing you can usefully add*" to this letter) you have not responded to the main request in my letter and provided a copy of the "direction" you alledge DJ Wainwright made concerning my June 2009 application. The OJC have advised in writing that I must appeal this

"direction" and complain about you for only advising me about it some 8 months after you allege it was made.

You have had over well over a year to reply. If you decline to send me a copy of this "direction" and stat dec (as requested) by return then it must be taken as fact that no such "direction" ever existed and obviously I cannot appeal something that has never existed. I will have to inform the OJC that my complaint against you is not only that you advised me about a "direction" months after it was allegedly made but also that you lied about its existence.

Yours sincerely

JH Layte

---

**3 attachments**



**REPLY 31.5.pdf**

175K



**Peeters letter.PDF**

50K



**PZ200604 27 April 2010 EC e-mail.pdf**

102K